



Attorney Docket No. 45858/56066

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Philpott et al.

Art Unit:

1634

U.S.S.N:

09/993,736

Examiner:

J. Goldberg

FILED:

November 14, 2001

FOR:

SAMPLING AND STORAGE SYSTEM FOR GENETIC MATERIAL FROM

TISSUE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify this correspondence and the documents referred to as attached herein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on October 17, 2002.

By: Negma M. Eelwan

Regina M. Edwards

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-referenced patent application are the following documents:

- 1) Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages);
- 2) Petition for One-Month Extension of Time (2 pages);
- 3) Check in the amount of \$110.00 for One-Month Extension;
- 4) Copy of September 9, 2002 Office Action (5 pages);
- 5) This transmittal letter (x2); and
- 6) Return postcard.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any excess fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1105. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Date: October 17, 2002

Respectfully submitted

Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D. (Reg. No.: 34,901)

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group of Group of EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

P.O. Box 9169

Boston, Massachusetts 02209





TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Attorney Docket No. 45858/56066

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Philpott et al.

Art Unit: 1634

U.S.S.N: 09/993,736

Examiner: J. Goldberg

FILED: November 14, 2001

FOR: SAMPLING AND STORAGE SYSTEM FOR GENETIC MATERIAL FROM

TISSUE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 October 17, 2002.

By:

Tregma M. Edwurn Regina M. Edwards

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement of September 9, 2002, Applicants hereby elect Group I, which presently includes claims 1-4 and 6-32, with traverse.

The present election is made solely to comply with the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action. It should not be construed as a disclaimer or surrender of any subject matter in the application. The right to file one or more divisional applications on the non-elected claims is reserved.

USSN 09/993,736 Philpott et al. Page 2 of 2

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement as set forth. For example, it is believed that no undue burden would be imposed by examination of the four groups, because searches for these groups would overlap significantly. In addition, Applicants would like to draw the Examiner's attention to the language of the claims in Groups II, III, and IV (and especially in Group II) concerning "the genetic material." Accordingly, it is requested that the restriction requirement be reconsidered.

Applicants believe that only a <u>one-month extension</u> of time is required, because this Response is being filed within one month after the expiration of the specified one (1) month period. Applicants petition for a one-month extension of time and include payment of fees for the one-month extension of time. If an additional extension is needed, applicants hereby conditionally petition for an extension of time to provide for the possibility that the need for such a petition has been inadvertently overlooked.

Although it is not believed that any additional fees are needed to consider this submission, the Examiner is hereby authorized to charge our deposit account no. <u>04-1105</u> should any fee be deemed necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 34,901)

Edwards & Angell

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group

P.O. Box 9169

Boston, MA 02209 Tel: (617) 439-4444

Fax: (617) 439-4170/7748

Date: October 17, 2002

BOS2_316129.1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/993,736

11/14/2001

Richard Philpott

56066/45858

CONFIRMATION NO. 9454

7590

09/09/2002

DBRC, IPPG of EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA 02209

EXAMINER

GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE

ART UNIT 1634

PAPER NUMBER

RECEIVED

SEP 1 6 2002

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RESTRICTION

Edwards & Angell LLF

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman 101 Federal St. Boston, MA 02110

Date Rec'd 2/16/02

Docketed, For Oc7

Approved.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
4				
21 los		09/993,736	PHILPOTT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DATE OF STATE	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>une 2002</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	



Art Unit: 1634



DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, 6-32, drawn to methods of genetic analysis, classified in class
 435, subclass 4.
 - II. Claim 5, drawn to a kit comprising a means for processing and a matrix, classified in class 435, subclass 287.7.
 - III. Claim 33, drawn to a kit containing solid medium for retaining cells and second solid medium with a matrix comprising a composition, classified in class 435, subclass 287.9.
 - IV. Claim 34, drawn to an apparatus comprising a chamber, vacuum and a matrix, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- A) Inventions (II and III) and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products, namely groups II and III may be used in material different methods from the method of Group I. The kits may be used for isolating nucleic acids, cells, proteins; analyzing



Art Unit: 1634

genetic material, purifying genetic material or merely storing genetic material for later analysis.

- B) The kits of Group II and III are distinct kits. Each kits contains different components which are not obvious over the other.
- C) The kits of Group (II and III) are distinct from the apparatus of Group IV. The apparatus contains several elements which are not required by either of the kits such that the apparatus is distinct from the kits.
- D) Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used for a material different method. For example the chamber, vacuum and matrix may be used for generating a matrix comprising genetic material for further storage, for example. The apparatus does not have to be for isolating genetic material.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,736

Art Unit: 1634

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 306-5817. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Pauline Farrier, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3550.

Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Q. Golden Jeanine Goldberg September 4, 2002

> W. Gaily Jones Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600